(16)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 6131 of 2007

PETITIONER Smt. Poonam Singh Before Marriage Poonam Lanjewar Age about 32 years, U.D.T. W/o Shri Rajendra Singh Mahatma Gandhi Hr. S.S. Durg Distt.- Durg (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Education & Urban Development Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Distt.-Raipur (C.G.)
2. Municipal Corporation Durg, District- Durg (C.G.) Through : Commissioner

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State on advancecopy.

ORAL ORDER
(09.10.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has passed B.Ed. examination on her own expenses, therefore, she is entitled to receive two advance increments according to circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999. He also submits that in many identical matters, the petitions have been allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}.*** He submits that her case is squarely covered by the said Judgment.

This legal position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State. However, he submits that the order may be passed in terms of the said judgment, but it shall be subjected to verification regarding petitioner's such qualification.

Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another* (Supra) subject to the verification of the facts as to whether the petitioner had acquired B.Ed. Examination Certificate on her own expenses as per circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether she has been paid two advance increments or not.

The petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge